SNAP Support Letters – 115th Congress

National Organizations and Coalitions

- **2018 Farm Bill letter to House and Senate Budget and Appropriations Committees** (pdf) – FRAC is proud to have played a leadership role in developing support for this letter urging the House and Senate Budget and Appropriations Committees not to “hinder development and passage of the 2018 Farm Bill with further cuts.”
- **Agriculture/conservation/food and nutrition groups urge Congress** not to make budget cuts to the agriculture and nutrition programs.
- The **National Anti-Hunger Organizations (NAHO) letter** asks Congress and the Administration to safeguard and protect all federal nutrition programs.
- The **SAVE (Strengthening America’s Value and Economy) for All letter** advocates for economic fairness, asking Congress and the Administration to prioritize the funding needs of programs serving vulnerable families and individuals.
- The **National Association of Counties (NACo) resolution** demonstrates their support for moving a SNAP reauthorization in conjunction with a reauthorization of the Farm Bill and protecting the current entitlement status and funding structure of SNAP.
- The **House Agriculture Committee’s Budget Views and Estimates letter**, addressed to the House Budget Committee Chairman Black and Ranking Member Yarmuth, urges the House Budget Committee to maintain the entitlement structure of SNAP and reject any attempts to further cut funding or place additional burdens on those looking to access SNAP benefits.
- U.S. Conference of Mayors resolution: **Urging the Passage of a Farm Bill That Supports Healthy Food Access and Sustainable Food Systems** (scroll down page for resolution).
- The **Council of State Governments resolution** urges Congress to enact a 2018 Farm Bill reauthorization that includes a strong domestic hunger and nutrition safety net, noting the importance of SNAP in rural areas, for military families and farmers, and in response to disasters.
- The **AARP letter** to OMB Director Mick Mulvaney urges the Administration and Congress to work together on a bipartisan basis to protect and strengthen SNAP in the Farm Bill reauthorization, stating the importance of SNAP for millions of older adults and other low-income Americans.
- The **National Grocers Association letter** to Members of Congress, signed by nearly 900 grocers from all 50 states, notes Congress’s “decades-long recognition that supermarkets remain an indispensable private sector partner in the successful public-private partnership of the SNAP program”—and strongly opposes replacing household SNAP benefits with a government-run direct food distribution service.
- The **eGovernment Payments Council of the Electronic Funds Transfer Association letter** to USDA FNS Administrator Brandon Lipps opposes the America’s Harvest Box proposal in the President’s 2019 Budget, which would shift a portion of SNAP benefits to USDA commodity foods.

State Officials and Governmental Entities

- The **Alaska letter from Governor Walker to the Alaskan Congressional Delegation** urges a shared commitment to ensuring a strong and effective national nutrition safety net for vulnerable low-income individuals and families in Alaska.
- A proposed state legislative resolution from the **General Assembly of Pennsylvania** opposes efforts to block grant funding or reduce the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.
- The federal farm bill is vital for bringing food from North Dakota farms to households across
America. SNAP is the largest section of the farm bill and it “supports our economy and keeps our families healthy and strong,” writes State Rep. Gretchen Dobervich (ND-District 11) in this letter to the editor.

- The California Department of Agriculture, California Environmental Protection Agency, California Natural Resources Agency, and California Health & Human Services Agency letter to Senate and House Ag Committee leadership provides recommendations for the 2018 Farm Bill, including robust funding for domestic food and nutrition programs. The letter also urges that the structure of SNAP be maintained so that it stays responsive to economic changes and can adequately support Californians in need.

- The Minnesota letter from Governor Dayton to the Minnesota Congressional Delegation urges a strong nutrition title in the 2018 Farm Bill, including protecting and strengthening SNAP—no funding cuts, block grants, or structural changes.

City Councils and Local Municipalities

- Albany City Council supports the SNAP/CalFresh programs, and opposes any cuts to benefits, limits on eligibility, and efforts to block grant the SNAP program.
- The Municipality of Anchorage supports efforts to protect SNAP from cutbacks and restructuring, as these programs are essential to Anchorage’s most vulnerable households.
- The Alameda County Community Food Bank letter contains signatures of elected representatives from cities and communities across Alameda County, and urges support of CalFresh/SNAP, and opposes any cuts to benefits, limits on eligibility, and efforts to block grant the SNAP program.
- The Marin County Board of Supervisors letter outlines the importance of SNAP/CalFresh for residents of Marin County and the state of California and urges Representatives from California on the House Agriculture Committee to defend and strengthen SNAP.
- Six Massachusetts Mayors have written letters in support of SNAP and urged Massachusetts Senators Ed Markey and Elizabeth Warren and Massachusetts Representatives Jim McGovern and Richard Neal to support SNAP and oppose any cuts, limits on eligibility, or efforts to block grant the SNAP program.
- The New York City Council approved a resolution on September 7 urging Congress to reject proposed reforms to cut funding to SNAP and to reject efforts to block grant the program.
- On October 10, Clearfield County, Pennsylvania Commissioners passed a resolution opposing proposed cuts to SNAP.

Community Voices

- Massachusetts letter to the members of the Massachusetts Congressional Delegation, from The Massachusetts Food Association, the Retailers Association of Massachusetts, the Food Bank Coalition of Massachusetts (made up of the Greater Boston, Worcester County, Merrimack Valley and Western Massachusetts food banks), the Massachusetts Law Reform Institute, and Massachusetts Public Health Association.
- The California letter details why the federal nutrition programs are so critical and the severe consequences of cutting the funding for these programs.
- The Massachusetts letter from the Massachusetts SNAP Coalition and state, regional and local organizations outlines who benefits from the federal nutrition programs and why protecting and defending the current structure of the programs is so important.
- The New York letter addresses how vital access to the federal nutrition programs is and urges the New York delegation to protect the programs from any structural changes.
- The Illinois letters addressed to the Illinois Congressional Delegation and the Illinois Governor urges them to protect and strengthen the federal nutrition programs against any benefit cuts or changes in entitlement structure in 2017 and beyond.
- Maryland’s letter strongly urges the Maryland Congressional Delegation "to support the vital federal nutrition programs in the 2018 Farm Bill."
• Nebraska’s letter urges protection of the "federal nutrition assistance programs from block grants and other structural changes and protect these programs from arbitrary budget cuts that target the most vulnerable among us."

• This letter to the Tennessee Congressional Delegation urges rejecting SNAP cuts or structural changes.

• In a joint op-ed, Greater Cleveland Food Bank and Ohio Grocers Association warn that “draconian cuts to SNAP will result in families, seniors, veterans and children - people most in need - going hungry and will negatively affect local businesses, as well.”

• In an op-ed, The Food Bank of Western Massachusetts urges Members of Congress to oppose budget resolutions that would decimate SNAP and other programs, stating that if SNAP were to be cut, “the private sector would be unable to fill the enormous gap that would remain.”

• In an op-ed, the Flathead Food Bank in Montana urges Montana Senators Steve Daines and Jon Tester and Representative Greg Gianforte to support SNAP—“one of our nation’s most powerful tools in the fight against hunger”—and strongly oppose any federal budget that “takes away food from our neighbors.”

• In Colorado, a single mother who works three jobs to support her family and medical costs for her son’s chronic illness talks about the importance of SNAP to help put healthy food on the table and remove the fear of not being able to feed her children.

• In a joint op-ed, the Regional Food Bank of Oklahoma and the Community Food Bank of Eastern Oklahoma talk about the importance of SNAP, stating that “the food banks simply could not fill this gap. This kind of reduction would seriously harm the health and well-being of hundreds of thousands of Oklahomans.”

• In an op-ed, the Kentucky Association of Food Banks covers why Congress should support SNAP and oppose any budget that proposes cuts to SNAP and other poverty-reduction programs, noting that food banks help constituents “meet a short-term need while SNAP helps them get by on a day-to-day basis.”

• In a joint op-ed, Feeding Pennsylvania and the Pennsylvania Food Merchants Association write about the importance of SNAP for Pennsylvanians and local economies, urging Members of Congress to vote ‘no’ on any budget resolution or bill which harms SNAP.

• In an op-ed, Dr. David Mark, a pediatrician from Hardin, Montana, asks Representative Gianforte, Senator Daines, Senator Tester, and other Members of Congress to stand strong and continue the longstanding, bipartisan fight against childhood hunger by protecting SNAP.

• In an op-ed, The Idaho Foodbank board chair Lynn Hoffmann calls on Congress to oppose budget resolutions that would pave the way for harmful cuts to the programs like SNAP that provide basic nutrition to Idahoans.

• In an op-ed, Hans Helmselte, owner of Snow Country Gardens in Montana, calls on members of Congress to protect and strengthen SNAP in the reauthorization of the Farm Bill, stating that “SNAP funding is not only important to disadvantaged families, but is also a significant economic benefit for a great many Montana farmers.”

• In an op-ed, the Association of Arizona Food Banks urges Arizona residents to talk to their elected officials about supporting Arizona’s families to put healthy food on the table by protecting programs like SNAP.

• In a letter on behalf of a broad spectrum of social service, food access and other agencies in the Los Angeles area, Hunger Action Los Angeles and the Jewish Family Service of Los Angeles urge California Representatives to protect and strengthen SNAP by co-sponsoring H.R.1276—The Closing the Meal Gap Act of 2017.

• In this posting, the Center for Rural Affairs urges policymakers to recognize SNAP as an investment in rural Nebraska and a critical safeguard against food insecurity and poverty for rural residents in Nebraska.

• In this op-ed, the Community Food Basket in Idaho calls on Senator Risch, Senator Crapo, Representative Labrador, and Representative Simpson to defend SNAP for Idaho’s most vulnerable families.